

Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

Distorted magnetic orders and electronic structures of tetragonal FeSe from first principles

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article. 2009 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 21 115701

(http://iopscience.iop.org/0953-8984/21/11/115701)

View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details: IP Address: 129.252.86.83 The article was downloaded on 29/05/2010 at 18:38

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 21 (2009) 115701 (5pp)

# Distorted magnetic orders and electronic structures of tetragonal FeSe from first principles

### Yong-Feng Li, Li-Fang Zhu, San-Dong Guo, Ye-Chuan Xu and Bang-Gui Liu

Institute of Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, People's Republic of China and Beijing National Laboratory for Condensed Matter Physics, Beijing 100190, People's Republic of China

E-mail: bgliu@mail.iphy.ac.cn

Received 8 November 2008, in final form 13 January 2009 Published 17 February 2009 Online at stacks.iop.org/JPhysCM/21/115701

#### Abstract

We use the state-of-the-art density-functional-theory method to study various magnetic orders and their effects on the electronic structures of FeSe. Our calculated results show that, for the spins of the single Fe layer, the striped antiferromagnetic orders with distortion are more favorable in total energy than the checkerboard antiferromagnetic orders with tetragonal symmetry, which is consistent with known experimental data, and the interlayer magnetic interaction is very weak. We investigate the electronic structures and magnetic property of the distorted phases. We also present our calculated spin coupling constants and discuss the reduction of the Fe magnetic moment by quantum many-body effects. These results are useful in understanding the structural, magnetic and electronic properties of FeSe, and may have some helpful implications for other FeAs-based materials.

(Some figures in this article are in colour only in the electronic version)

#### 1. Introduction

The advent of superconducting F-doped LaFeAsO has stimulated a world-wide campaign for more and better Febased superconductors [1]. More superconductors were obtained by replacing La by other lanthanides or partly substituting F for O, and higher phase-transition temperatures  $(T_c)$  were achieved in some of them [2–4]. Furthermore, more series of Fe-based superconductors were found, including the BaFe2As2 series and LiFeAs series [5-8]. The highest  $T_{\rm c}$  in these series reaches 55–56 K in the case of doped SmFeAsO [4]. Various explorations have been performed to elucidate their magnetic orders, electronic structures, superconductivity, etc [9–15]. Recently, superconductivity was found even in tetragonal FeSe samples under high pressure and  $\alpha$  FeSe phases with Se vacancies [16–20]. Very recently, SrFeAsF was made superconducting by La and Co doping [21-25]. The FeSe system is interesting because its

FeSe layer is similar to the FeAs layer of the FeAs-based materials: RFeAsO series (R: rare-earth elements), AFe2As2 series (A: alkaline-earth elements), LiFeAs series and SrFeAsF series. In addition to the FeAs layers, there are RO layers in the RFeAsO series, A layers in the AFe2As2 series, Li layers in the LiFeAs series and SrF layers in the SrFeAsF series, but there is nothing else besides the FeSe layers for the FeSe systems. Therefore, it is highly desirable to investigate the magnetic orders, electronic structures and magnetic properties of the tetragonal FeSe phases (or distorted phases of them).

In this paper we use a full-potential density-functionaltheory method to study various magnetic orders and their effects on the electronic structures of FeSe. We suppose a checkerboard antiferromagnetic order for the spins of the Fe layer of the tetragonal phase and striped antiferromagnetic orders for those of the symmetry-broken structures, and perform total energy and force optimization to determine the structural and magnetic parameters. Then, we investigate



**Figure 1.** Schematic structures of tetragonal FeSe (a) and orthorhombic FeSe (b) and the spin configurations of the checkerboard antiferromagnetic order (c) and the striped antiferromagnetic order (d). The large balls with Fe1 and Fe2 indicate the two kinds of Fe atoms with different spin orientations, and the small one the Se atom. The sign  $\otimes$  means that the Fe1 spin orients upward with respect to the plane of the paper and  $\odot$  the Fe2 spin downward. The first Brillouin zone of the orthorhombic FeSe is shown in (e) with the high-symmetry points labeled.

the corresponding electronic structures and magnetic property for them. Our calculated result means that the striped antiferromagnetic order is favorable for the spins of the Fe layer, which is consistent with the main known experimental data. We also discuss the reduction of the Fe magnetic moment by quantum many-body effects. More detailed results are presented in the following.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we give our computational details. In section 3, we present our main DFT calculated results, including optimized magnetic structures and corresponding electronic density of states and energy bands. In section 4, we discuss spin interactions and many-body effects on the Fe magnetic moments. Finally, we present our main conclusion in section 5.

#### 2. Computational detail

Our calculations are performed by using a full-potential linearized augmented plane wave (FLAPW) method within the density-functional theory (DFT) [26], as implemented in the Vienna package WIEN2k [27]. The generalized gradient approximation (GGA) is used for the exchange and correlation potentials [28]. We take the 3d and 4s states of Fe and the 4s and 4p states of Se as valence states, and the 3p states of Fe and 3d states of Se are treated as semicore states. The core states include all the lower states. The core states are treated in terms of the radial Dirac equation and thus the full relativistic effect is calculated under the scalar approximation, with spin–orbit interaction being neglected [29]. The radii of Fe and Se muffin-tin spheres are 2.05 and 2.00 au, respectively. To get more accurate results, we take  $R_{\rm mt} \times K_{\rm max} = 9.0$ 

and make the angular expansion up to l = 10 in the muffintin spheres. We use 1000k points in the calculations. For different magnetic orders the k points in the first Brillouin zone are chosen differently because of the different symmetry. The self-consistent calculations are controlled by the charge density and the convergence standard is that the difference between the input charge density and output is less than 0.00005 per unit cell.

We show the unit cell of the tetragonal FeSe (PbO structure) in figure 1(a). It is a layered structure, in which an Fe atom occupies the 2a position and an Se atom the 2c position. There are six possible magnetic configurations for the Fe ions if the tetrahedral FeSe structure is allowed to distort into orthorhombic structures. Figure 1(e) shows the first Brillouin zone with the representative points and lines. The Fe moments in the Fe plane can be arranged to form ferromagnetic or antiferromagnetic orders. The AFM orders can be checkerboard-like (figure 1(c)) or striped (figure 1(d)). For the successive {001} planes, the Fe moments can couple ferromagnetically or antiferromagnetically. As a result, we have four different antiferromagnets, namely (a) checkerboard-FM, (b) checkerboard-AF, (c) stripe-FM and (d) stripe-AF. In addition, when we force Fe moments in the Fe plane to have FM order, the self-consistent calculations yield zero moments for the Fe moments, which means that FM order is unstable for FeSe, independent of the interlayer spin arrangements. Therefore, we can actually construct five magnetic orders for this system.

#### 3. Main calculated results

The parameters in our calculations are taken from the experimental values. We use a = 3.76 Å and c = 5.52 Å.

**Table 1.** The magnetic order, the relative total energy per formula unit ( $\Delta E$  in meV, with the lowest stripe-FM set as reference), the magnetic moment in the Fe muffin-tin sphere (M in  $\mu_B$ ) and the internal Se position parameter  $u_{Se}$  of the two striped antiferromagnetic orders and the two checkerboard ones. The results of the nonmagnetic order are presented for comparison.

Magnetic order	$\Delta E \text{ (meV)}$	Moment $M(\mu_{\rm B})$	<i>u</i> <sub>Se</sub>
Checkerboard-FM	72	1.82	0.2570
Checkerboard-AF	72	1.81	0.2426
Stripe-FM	0	1.98	0.2590
Stripe-AF	5	2.00	0.2592
Nonmagnetic	154	0.00	0.2471

The positions of Se atoms are optimized fully and the force of the Se atom is made less than 2 mRyd  $au^{-1}$ . Calculated results of total energy, magnetic moment and Se position parameter are summarized in table 1. It makes little difference in total energy to arrange interlayer Fe moments in FM or AF order. The total energy results reveal that the intralayer Fe-Fe interaction is strong and the interlayer interaction weak. Actually, the striped arrangement of the Fe moments lowers the total energy of the FeSe layer by approximately 70 meV with respect to the checkerboard arrangement. On the other hand, the nonmagnetic order is 154 meV higher than the lowest striped AF order. Therefore, the striped AF spin order is the magnetic ground state in the FeSe layer, but the actual interlayer magnetic interaction is too weak for any densityfunctional-theory calculation to determine. The moment in the Fe muffin-tin sphere is about 1.8  $\mu_{\rm B}$  for the two checkerboard orders and about 2.0  $\mu_{\rm B}$  for the two striped orders. The total moment for one Fe atom is estimated to be a little larger for the striped AF orders. For the striped AF orders, the Se position parameter remains almost the same when the interlayer spin coupling is changed from FM to AF. Because it is impossible to distinguish between FM and AF spin alignment in the zdirection by density-functional-theory calculation, we present calculated results for both FM and AF arrangements in the z direction in the following.

We present the spin-dependent density of states (DOS) of the FeSe in the two stripe-AF orders in figure 2. There is no energy gap near the Fermi levels and therefore the FeSe for each AF order shows a metal feature. The Fe atom has a different crystalline environment for different magnetic orders, and thus its states are reformed in different ways. It can be seen that the main peaks occupy the states in the energy window from -2.2 to -1.5 eV. Almost all the partial DOS of the Fe atom comes from the 3d states and the DOS of the Se atom consists mainly of the p states.

We present the electronic energy bands of the FeSe in the two stripe-AF orders in figure 3. The plots look like lines, but consist of hollow circles. We choose the k points uniformly for convenient comparison. The circle diameter is proportional to the Fe1 spin-up or Fe1 spin-down atomic character of the band at that k point. The dispersion along the z direction is much stronger than those in other similar Fe-based materials. This can be attributed to the short distance between the successive Fe layers. It can be seen that the d states of Fe play a key role for all the magnetic structures. For the stripe magnetic



**Figure 2.** Spin-dependent density of states (DOS, in units of states/eV per formula unit) of the stripe-FM (a) and stripe-AF (b). The upper part of each panel is the majority-spin DOS and the lower part the minority-spin DOS. The Fe1 DOS is emphasized by thick red (or gray) solid lines and the Fe2 DOS by thick blue (or gray) dotted lines. The black thin solid line indicates the spin-dependent total DOS and the others are projected DOS in the muffin tin sphere of the Se atom (dotted–dashed) and the interstitial region (pink or gray thin solid).

structures we can find the electrons and holes along the  $X-\Gamma-Y$  line, which is very important in achieving superconductivity in doped cases or under appropriate pressures.

## 4. Spin interactions and many-body effects on the magnetic moments

In order to further investigate the magnetic moment, we use the following AFM Heisenberg spin model to describe the spin properties of the Fe atoms in the striped AFM phase:

$$H = \sum_{ij} J_{ij} \vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_j \tag{1}$$

where  $S_i$  is the quantum spin operator for site *i* and  $J_{ij}$  is the exchange coupling constants between the two spins at sites *i* and *j*. For the striped AFM phase, the nearest coupling constant in the *x* direction is  $J_x$ , and that in the *y* direction  $J_y$ . For the tetrahedral phase, we should have  $J_x = J_y$ , but for the striped phase we have  $J_x \neq J_y$  because of the crystalline distortion in the *xy* plane. We limit non-zero exchange coupling constants up to the next-nearest-neighboring spins, J'. For convenience, we define  $J = (J_x + J_y)/2$  and  $\delta = J_x - J_y$ . Our DFT calculation yields  $J_x = 10$  meV,  $J_y = 8$  meV and J' = 5 meV. This means J = 9 meV and



**Figure 3.** Spin-dependent energy bands of the stripe-FM (a), and stripe-AF (b). The left panel for each magnetic order shows the energy bands of the Fe1 spin-up channel, with the Fe1 atomic character emphasized by circles, and the right one those of the Fe1 spin-down channel. The spin of Fe1 orients antiparallel to that of Fe 2. The emphasized energy bands consist of circles with different diameters, and the larger the diameter, the more the atomic character. There are so many circles (or dots) for a given band that they seem to be connected forming a line for the band.

 $\delta = 2$  meV. The  $J_x$  and  $J_y$  comes from the superexchange through the two nearest Se atoms and J' from that through the one nearest the Se atom and, as a result, we should have  $J \approx 2J'$ , which supports our DFT results.

We treat the spin Hamiltonian (1) with the above parameters with spin wave theory [30]. As usual, the average spin for zero temperature,  $\langle S^z \rangle$ , can be given by  $\langle S^z \rangle = S - \Delta S$ , where *S* is the spin value of the Fe atom in FeSe and  $\Delta S$  the correction due to quantum many-body effects. Presented in figure 4 are our calculated results for  $\langle S^z \rangle$  and  $\Delta S$  as functions of the parameter J'/J. It is clear that  $\langle S^z \rangle$  decreases with decreasing J'/J, getting to zero at  $J' = J_y/2$ . In fact, the striped AFM structure is not the magnetic ground state of FeSe anymore if J' is smaller than  $J_y/2$ . For real samples of FeSe, one should have the parameter relations  $J' \approx J/2$  and  $\delta$  is small but finite, and therefore the experimental spin value is small compared to the DFT value S.

#### 5. Conclusion

In summary, we have used the full-potential densityfunctional-theory method to study various magnetic orders and their effects on the electronic structures of FeSe. We find that, for the spins of the single Fe layer, the striped antiferromagnetic orders with the broken symmetry are more favorable in total energy than the checkerboard



**Figure 4.** Zero-temperature average spin  $\langle S^z \rangle$  versus the coupling constant ratio J'/J for the two-dimensional spin model. The inset shows  $\Delta S$  (defined in the text) versus J'/J. The  $\odot$  signs indicate calculated points.

antiferromagnetic orders with tetragonal symmetry, and the interlayer magnetic interaction is very weak. Then, we investigate the corresponding electronic structures and magnetic property of the distorted phases with the striped antiferromagnetic orders. Our calculated result that the striped antiferromagnetic order is favorable for the spins of the Fe layer is consistent with the main known experimental data. We also present our calculated spin coupling constants and conclude that the reduction of the Fe magnetic moment is caused by quantum many-body effects. These results are useful in understanding the structural, magnetic and electronic properties of FeSe, and may have some helpful implications for other FeAs-based materials.

#### Acknowledgments

This work is supported by the Nature Science Foundation of China (grant nos. 10774180, 10874232 and 60621091), by the Chinese Department of Science and Technology (grant no. 2005CB623602) and by the Chinese Academy of Sciences (grant no. KJCX2.YW.W09-5).

#### References

- Kamihara Y, Watanabe T, Hirano M and Hosono H 2008 J. Am. Chem. Soc. 130 3296
- [2] Takahashi H, Igawa K, Arii K, Kamihara Y, Hirano M and Hosono H 2008 Nature 453 376

- [3] Chen X H, Wu T, Wu G, Liu R H, Chen H and Fang D F 2008 *Nature* 453 761
- [4] Ren Z-A, Lu W, Yang J, Yi W, Shen X-L, Li Z-C, Che G-C, Dong X-L, Sun L-L, Zhou F and Zhao Z-X 2008 Chin. Phys. Lett. 25 2215
- [5] Rotter M, Tegel M and Johrendt D 2008 arXiv:0805.4630
- [6] Sasmal K, Lv B, Lorenz B, Guloy A M, Chen F, Xue Y-Y and Chu C-W 2008 arXiv:0806.1301
- [7] Alireza P L, Gillett J, Chris Ko Y T, Sebastian S E and Lonzarich G G 2008 arXiv:0807.1896
- [8] Pitcher M J, Parker D R, Adamson P, Herkelrath S J C, Boothroyd A T and Clarke S J 2008 arXiv:0807.2228
  [9] de la Cruz C, Huang Q, Lynn J W, Li J, Ratcliff W II,
- Zarestky J L, Mook H A, Chen G F, Luo J L, Wang N L and Dai P 2008 *Nature* **453** 899
- [10] Ishibashi S, Terakura K and Hosono H 2008 J. Phys. Soc. Japan 77 053709
- [11] Yin Z P, Lebegue S, Han M J, Neal B P, Savrasov S Y and Pickett W E 2008 Phys. Rev. Lett. 101 047001
- [12] Yildirim T 2008 Phys. Rev. Lett. 101 057010
- [13] Singh D J and Du M H 2008 Phys. Rev. Lett. 100 237003
- [14] Mazin I I, Singh D J, Johannes M D and Du M H 2008 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101** 057003
- [15] Sefat A S, McGuire M A, Sales B C, Jin R, Howe J Y and Mandrus D 2008 Phys. Rev. B 77 174503
- [16] Mizuguchi Y, Tomioka F, Tsuda S, Yamaguchi T and Takano Y 2008 Appl. Phys. Lett. 93 152505
- [17] Li L, Yang Z R, Ge M, Pi L, Xu J T, Wang B S, Sun Y P and Zhang Y H 2008 arXiv:0809.0128
- [18] Zhang S B, Sun Y P, Zhu X D, Wang X B, Li G, Lei H C, Luo X, Yang Z R, Song W H and Dai J M 2008 arXiv:0908.1905
- [19] Lee K W, Pardo V and Pickett W E 2008 arXiv:0808.1733
- [20] Subedi A, Zhang L, Singh D J and Du M H 2008 arXiv:0807.4312
- [21] Matsuishi S, Inoue Y, Nomura T, Hirano M and Hosono H 2008 arXiv:0810.2351v1
- [22] Zhu X Y, Han F, Cheng P, Mu G, Shen B and Wen H H 2008 arXiv:0810.2531
- [23] Tegel M, Johansson S, Weiss V, Schellenberg I, Hermes W, Pottgen R and Johrendt D 2008 arXiv:0810.2120v1
- [24] Han F, Zhu X Y, Mu G, Cheng P and Wen H H 2008 arXiv:0810.2475v1
- [25] Zhu L-F and Liu B-G 2008 arXiv:0810.5049v1
- [26] Hohenberg P and Kohn W 1964 Phys. Rev. 136 B864 Kohn W and Sham L J 1965 Phys. Rev. 140 A1133
- [27] Blaha P, Schwarz K, Sorantin P and Trickey S B 1990 Comput. Phys. Commun. 59 399
- [28] Perdew J P, Burke K and Ernzerhof M 1996 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 77 3865
- [29] Koelling D D and Harmon B N 1977 J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys. 10 3107
- [30] Liu B-G 1990 Phys. Rev. B 41 9563
   Liu B-G, Pu F-C and Czycholl G 1996 J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 154 369